Outer Hebrides Alcohol & Drug Partnership

Executive Group Meeting

28th June 2011 10.00am – 1.00pm



Police Station, Church Street, Stornoway

Minutes of Meeting

Chief Inspector, Northern Constabulary

Members Present:

Gordon Macleod Colin Gilmour Mairi Bremner John Edwards

Health Promotion Manager, NHS Western Isles Community Representative (by telephone link) Head of Services Community Care, CNeS

In Attendance:

Wendy Ingledew Suzanne Macaulay Alasdair Macleod Fiona Macdonald ADP Coordinator ADP Substance Misuse Development Officer ADP Administrator ADP Substance Misuse Information Research Officer

Apologies:

Emelin Collier Sheila Scott Donnie Mackenzie Head of Planning and Development, NHS Western Isles Director of Public Health, NHS Western Isle Management Accountant, NHS Western Isles

| Agenda Item | Item/Discussion | |
|----------------|-----------------|---|
| | WELCOME: | |
| | | |
| 1. | Apologies | |
| | Emelin Collier | Head of Planning and Development, NHS Western |

| | | f Public Health, NHS Western Isle ent Accountant, NHS Western Isles | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Funding Applications: | | |
| | To agree funding allocation guidelines Agreed if anyone has an interest in one of the applications they should declare it and drop out of the discussion for that application. | | |
| 2.2 | To consider funding applications ag | gainst set criteria and marking sheet | |
| | i. The group discussed the DTTO (Drug Testing Treatment Order) funding application. | | |
| John Edwards left the room for the DTTO discussion due to a declare the project. | | TTO discussion due to a declared interest in | |
| | The Criminal Justice and Social Work Department along with the Sheriff prinitiated compulsory testing for criminals on bail who are not permitted to a alcohol as part of their bail conditions and are looking for funding to open alcohol testing machine. The group agreed that this statutory service we marked against the criteria and treated in the same way as all other Develor Fund applications as it is not a requirement of the ADP to fund it. Each ADP member rated the service and the scores were added together at a total for the service. The DTTO funding application was discussed and the votes were as follow. | | |
| | | | |
| | DTTO | Votes | |
| | Gordon Macleod | 33 | |
| | Mairi Bremner Emelin Collier | <u> </u> | |
| | John Edwards | | |
| | Wendy Ingledew & Suzanne Macaula | | |
| | Combined Score | 33.5 | |
| | The DTTO funding application received an overall score of 35.5 . John Edwards re-entered the meeting. ii. The Group discussed the Barra Youth Café funding application. Wendy Ingledew stated that the ADP currently funds £3000 to Community Education in Uist and Barra and a percentage of the funding provides drug and alcohol workshop activities through Barra Youth Café; the ADP has also provided training to youth staff in the Café. The Barra Youth Café application states it aims to provides activities for young people in Barra and to raise the self esteem and improve the mental health of the young people who participate. The Group agreed there was a lack of evidence to show local need for these activities in the Need | | |

section of the application which indicates a lack of participation in the application from young people to show what young people in the area are asking for.

The Barra Youth Cafe funding application was discussed and the votes were as follows:

| Barra Youth Cafe | Votes |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 24 |
| Mairi Bremner | 31 |
| Emelin Collier | 14 |
| John Edwards | 15.5 |
| Wendy Ingledew & Suzanne Macaulay | 19.5 |
| Combined Score | 20.75 |

The Barra Youth Cafe funding application received an overall score of 20.75.

iii. The Group discussed the **Dochas** funding application.

The Dochas application states the project aims to provide support and advice for those whose lives are affected by substance misuse.

The Group agreed the application did not provide enough information on points such as local need and project monitoring in relation to how to evidence outcomes for clients and although it provided anecdotal evidence there was not enough evidence of local need. It was also agreed that there was not enough emphasis on partnership working and there is an opportunity for local agencies to work together to put in a joint bid rather than separate bids.

The votes were as follows:

| Dochas | Votes |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 14 |
| Mairi Bremner | 13 |
| Emelin Collier | 11 |
| John Edwards | 10.5 |
| Wendy Ingledew & Suzanne Macaulay | 11.5 |
| Combined Score | 12 |

The **Dochas** funding application received an overall score of **12**.

iv. The Group discussed the Tagsa Uibhist funding application.

The Tagsa Uibhist application states it will work with service users on a social and horticultural project.

During discussion, it was agreed that the application required greater explanation of the client outcomes being worked towards and not clear enough on how it would tie in with recovery for service users and assist clients to move on and work in partnership with existing substance services.

The votes were as follows:

| Tagsa Uibhist | Votes |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 12 |
| Mairi Bremner | 11 |
| Emelin Collier | 18 |
| John Edwards | 13 |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 14.5 |
| Combined Score | 17 |

The Tagsa Uibhist funding application received an overall score of 17.

v. The Group discussed the **An Caladh** funding application.

Wendy Ingledew informed the Group that An Caladh already receives £30,000 of funding from the ADP.

John Edwards and Emelin Collier queried the lack of partnership working in this application and whether this is a priority service for the ADP or whether it could taken forward by partnership working with local agencies.

The votes were as follows:

| An Caladh | Votes |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 17.5 |
| Mairi Bremner | 33 |
| Emelin Collier | 25 |
| John Edwards | 11.5 |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 18 |
| Combined Score | 21 |

The An Caladh funding application received an overall score of 21.

vi. The Group discussed the Young Peoples Needs Assessment funding application

Wendy Ingledew informed the group that the funding proposal had been submitted jointly by the Bridge Centre and Community Education.

John Edwards stated that he liked the proposal because it was short term and value for money.

Emelin Collier raised concerns that there would be duplication with the mental health needs assessment carried out by the Health Board a few years ago. Suzanne Macaulay stated that she believes that this proposal is a good piece of work and that we need to keep asking the young people what they want as what they wanted is not necessarily what they want now. Gordon Macleod stated that we need to be sure of what the kids want, but stated this should carried out across the whole of the Western Isles and not just not in Lewis, although aware that these projects only have a Lewis wide remit so an alternative way of carrying out a needs assessment may need to be looked at by the ADP at a later date.

The votes were as follows:

| Young Peoples Needs Assessment | Votes |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 18 |
| Mairi Bremner | 17 |
| Emelin Collier | 18 |
| John Edwards | 24.5 |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 26 |
| Combined Score | 20.7 |
| | |

The **Young Peoples Needs Assessment** funding application received an overall score of **20.7**.

vii. The Group discussed the **Young Mums** funding application. John Edwards stated that he though that it was a good application but did not consider it to be fully developed; this view was shared by the Group.

The votes were as follows:

| Young Mums | Votes |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 20 |
| Mairi Bremner | 21 |
| Emelin Collier | 17 |
| John Edwards | 20.5 |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 18 |
| Combined Score | 19.3 |

The Young Mums funding application received an overall score of 19.3.

viii. The Group discussed the Action For Children funding application.

The Group agreed the application was very strong and met the ADP strategic priorities and outcomes aimed for.

John Edwards stated that he believed that the proposal was well presented and that it covers some of the same area as the Young Mums proposal.

The votes were as follows:

| Action for children | Votes |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 23 |
| Mairi Bremner | 23 |
| Emelin Collier | 26 |
| John Edwards | 26 |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 29 |
| Combined Score | 25.8 |

The Action For Children funding application received an overall score of 25.8.

ix. The Group discussed the **Cothrom** funding application.

The application stated that, if successful, the project would employ a project worker. The issue of temporary posts was discussed and Wendy Ingledew emphasised that it is stated clearly in the SLA that the employees are the services responsibility and not the ADP's. The concern was also raised that although there are substance services in the Outer Hebrides and there may be duplication which must be addressed by better coordination of the groups and multi partnership working.

Gordon Macleod raised a query regarding the exit strategies for clients from this project.

The votes were as follows:

| Cothrom | Votes |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 14 |
| Mairi Bremner | n/a |
| Emelin Collier | 14 |
| John Edwards | 10 |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 19 |
| Combined Score | 14.25 |
| | |

The **Cothrom** funding application received an overall score of **14.25**.

x. The Group discussed the **Foyer** funding application.

Suzanne Macaulay explained the three parts of the Foyer application. The Group agreed the part relating to support the Lifeshaper programme was strong but the other two lacked detail and relevance to the ADP criteria.

The votes were as follows:

| Foyer | Votes |
|----------------|-------|
| Gordon Macleod | 22 |
| Mairi Bremner | 30 |

| Т | Emelin Collier | 24 |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|
| | John Edwards | 23 |
| | Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 26.5 |
| | Combined Score | 25.1 |

The Foyer funding application received an overall score of 14.25.

xi. The Group discussed the **Counselling & Family Mediation Service Western** Isles; Children Contact's Centre funding application.

John Edwards declared an interest in the project and left the meeting room.

The Group agreed this is a strong application but lacked detail in areas relating to activites planning.

The votes were as follows:

| Counselling & Family Mediation Service | Votes |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Gordon Macleod | 18 |
| Mairi Bremner | 24 |
| Emelin Collier | 24 |
| John Edwards | Declared an interest so did not vote |
| Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 23.5 |
| Combined Score | 22.3 |

The Children & Families funding application received an overall score of 22.3.

xii. The Group discussed the Hi-Fires funding application.

John Edwards re-entered the meeting.

The ADP previously awarded HIFires $\pounds 10,000$ in 2010 - 2011 for four courses across the Outer Hebrides. Gordon Macleod informed the group that all the skills acquired on the Hi-Fires course are transferable and that it is a positive course for young people to attend, Wendy Ingledew raised the point that the drug and alcohol content should be delivered on every course and because the Hi-Fires course previously received funding from sexual health there should also be sexual health content in each course. The Group agreed there was a lack of detail in the application in relation to drugs and alcohol content and outcomes and targeting young people affected by substances.

John Edwards gave his apologies and left the meeting due to a prior commitment.

The votes were as follows:

| | Hi-Fires | Votes | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Gordon Macleod | 27 | | | |
| | Mairi Bremner | 28 | | | |
| | Emelin Collier | 21 | | | |
| | John Edwards | 13 | | | |
| | Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 18.5 | | | |
| | Combined Score | 21.5 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | The Hi-Fires funding application received a | an overall score of 21.5 . | | | |
| | xii. The Caraidean Uibhist funding applica | tion was discussed. | | | |
| | The Group agreed this is a strong application priorities and clear outcomes stated with partr Discussed the application being written follo agreed the Needs section is well evidenced. | nership working at the "core" of the project. | | | |
| | The votes were as follows: | | | | |
| | Caraidean | Votes | | | |
| | Gordon Macleod | 25.5 | | | |
| | Mairi Bremner | 29 | | | |
| | Emelin Collier | 27 | | | |
| | John Edwards | | | | |
| | Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay | 28 | | | |
| | Combined Score | 27.375 | | | |
| | The Caraidean funding application received | d an overall score of 27.375 | | | |
| 3 | 3.1 To agree and allocate funding re- | successful bids based on above | | | |
| | The ADP Executive Group has a fund of £40,000 to allocate to successful funding bids. It was agreed the filtering process would begin by removing any bids that had a total score of 18 or less out of a possible total score of 36. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | On this basis Dochas, Tagsa Uibhist and above criteria. | Cothrom were removed based on the | | | |
| | Suzanne Macaulay listed the groups in ord | er of their scores. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Service with score above 18 | Actual Score | listed according to score | Amount received | |
|--------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Young Mums | 19.3 | 10 | £0 | |
| | Young Peoples Needs Assessment Com Ed. | 20.75 | 9 | £0 | |
| | Barra Youth Cafe | 20.75 | 8 | £0 | |
| | An Caladh | 21 | 7 | £0 | |
| | Hi-Fires | 21.5 | 6 | £0 | |
| | Contact Centre | 22.3 | 5 | £0 | |
| | Foyer | 25.1 | 4 | £3744 | |
| | Action For Children | 25.8 | 3 | £18,000 | |
| | Caraidean | 27.5 | 2 | £6080 | |
| | DTTO | 32.5 | | £6510 | |
| ACTION | On the basis of the scores, the Group agreed to fund the DTTO, Caraidean Uibhist, Action For Children and Foyers application in relation to support for the Lifeshaper programme according to the percentage system. The money allocated to the successful bids would be the same percentage of the total sum requested as the percentage of their total score was to the total possible score (36). Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay to email and write to the Criminal Justice Department (DTTO), Caraidean Uibhist, Foyer and Action for Children informing them that their funding applications have been successful. Inform CJD that they will be funded £6,510, Caraidean Uibhist that they will receive £6,080 and Action For Children that they received for their funding applications. £5500 will be kept from the ADP funding pot to finance a Young Peoples Needs Assessment in the Outer Hebrides. | | | | |
| ACTION | Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay to write a letter to and email Foyer informing them that they have been partly successful in their funding application. They will receive £3,744 for their Healthy Eating Project based on the score that they received for their funding application. | | | | |
| ACTION | Wendy Ingledew to draw up r agreed on to fund the success | | • | • | |
| | Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay to write to the Family Mediation Western Isles (Contact Centre), Hi-Fires, An Caladh, Barra Youth Cafe, Community Education Young Peoples Needs Assessment, Community Education (Young | | | | |

| ACTION ACTION | Planning Partnership and other available resources to identify what local needs are. It was decided that £5,500 would be kept from the fund in order to finance this piece of work. | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Wendy Ingledew and Suzanne Macaulay to possibly contact Gareth Davison regarding possible support for the Needs Assessment throughout the Outer Hebrides. | | | |
| 4. | A.O.C.B. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5 | Date of Next Meeting: 7 th September 2011 | | | |